

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE FORM PTO-1390 (Modified) (REV 11-2000)		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 112740-394	
TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371		U.S. APPLICATION NO. (IF KNOWN, SEE 37 CFR 10/019594	
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/EP00/05918✓	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE 26 June 2000✓	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED 25 June 1999✓	
TITLE OF INVENTION SIMPLIFIED IMPLEMENTATION OF PROTOCOL MACHINES FOR PROTOCOLS WITH A LAYERED STRUCTURE			
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US <i>Schwarzbauer et al. Haus, Jurgens.</i>			
<p>Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. 3. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)). The submission must include items (5), (6), (9) and (24) indicated below. 4. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (Article 31). 5. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371 (c) (2)) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau). b. <input type="checkbox"/> has been communicated by the International Bureau. c. <input type="checkbox"/> is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US). 6. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is attached hereto. b. <input type="checkbox"/> has been previously submitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4). 7. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(3)) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <input type="checkbox"/> are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau). b. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> have been communicated by the International Bureau. c. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired. d. <input type="checkbox"/> have not been made and will not be made. 8. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). 9. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(4)). 10. <input type="checkbox"/> An English language translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371 (c)(5)). 11. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A copy of the International Preliminary Examination Report (PCT/IPEA/409). 12. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A copy of the International Search Report (PCT/ISA/210). <p>Items 13 to 20 below concern document(s) or information included:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 13. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. 14. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included. 15. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A FIRST preliminary amendment. 16. <input type="checkbox"/> A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment. 17. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A substitute specification. 18. <input type="checkbox"/> A change of power of attorney and/or address letter. 19. <input type="checkbox"/> A computer-readable form of the sequence listing in accordance with PCT Rule 13ter.2 and 35 U.S.C. 1.821 - 1.825 20. <input type="checkbox"/> A second copy of the published international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4). 21. <input type="checkbox"/> A second copy of the English language translation of the international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4). 22. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail 23. <input type="checkbox"/> Other items or information: 			

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (IF KNOWN, SEE 37 CFR 10/019594	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/EP00/05918	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 112740-394
--	--	---

24. The following fees are submitted:

BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492 (a) (1) - (5)) :

- | | |
|--|-----------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO | \$1040.00 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO | \$890.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO | \$740.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) | \$710.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4) | \$100.00 |

CALCULATIONS PTO USE ONLY

ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =

\$890.00

Surcharge of **\$130.00** for furnishing the oath or declaration later than 20 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492 (e)).

\$0.00

CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	
Total claims	4 - 20 =	0	x \$18.00	\$0.00
Independent claims	1 - 3 =	0	x \$84.00	\$0.00

Multiple Dependent Claims (check if applicable).

\$0.00

TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =

\$890.00

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27). The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.

\$0.00

SUBTOTAL = **\$890.00**

Processing fee of **\$130.00** for furnishing the English translation later than 20 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492 (f)).

\$0.00

TOTAL NATIONAL FEE = **\$890.00**

Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31) (check if applicable).

\$0.00

TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED = **\$890.00**

Amount to be:	\$
refunded	
charged	\$

- a. A check in the amount of **\$890.00** to cover the above fees is enclosed.
- b. Please charge my Deposit Account No. _____ in the amount of _____ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- c. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. **02-1818** A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- d. Fees are to be charged to a credit card. **WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form.** Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

William E. Vaughan (Reg. No. 39,056)
Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC
P.O. Box 1135
Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135



SIGNATURE

William E. Vaughan

NAME

39,056

REGISTRATION NUMBER

December 26, 2001

DATE

PCT PENDING PCT/PCTO 23 DEC 2001

BOX PCT

IN THE UNITED STATES ELECTED/DESIGNATED OFFICE
OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY-CHAPTER II

5

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

APPLICANTS: Schwarzbauer et al. DOCKET NO: 112740-394

SERIAL NO: GROUP ART UNIT:

EXAMINER:

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO: PCT/EP00/05918

10 INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE: 26 June 2000

INVENTION: SIMPLIFIED IMPLEMENTATION OF PROTOCOL
MACHINES FOR PROTOCOLS WITH A LAYERED
STRUCTURE

15 Assistant Commissioner for Patents,
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Please amend the above-identified International Application before entry
20 into the National stage before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office under 35
U.S.C. §371 as follows:

In the Specification:

Please replace the Specification of the present application, including the
Abstract, with the following Substitute Specification:

25

S P E C I F I C A T I O N

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

**SIMPLIFIED IMPLEMENTATION OF PROTOCOL MACHINES FOR
PROTOCOLS WITH A LAYERED STRUCTURE**

The present invention relates to a protocol machine, which handles
30 messages using a protocol which includes the functionality for complete, sequence-
protected transmission of messages, with the protocol having a layer structure for
complete, sequence-protected transmission.

Protected, that is to say complete and sequence-protected transmission, is made possible by many communication protocols. In this context, complete transmission refers to all messages transmitted being received. The order of the reception is of no importance here. Furthermore, sequence-protected transmission 5 refers to the messages being received in the order in which they have been transmitted. Loss of messages is permissible. It is advantageous to split a protocol, which guarantees complete and sequence-protected transmission, into two sublayers: one sublayer implements the complete transmission; a sublayer below that then implements the sequence-protected transmission on the basis of the 10 complete transmission. This structure is shown in Figure 1.

The messages carrying user data are also structured in accordance with the structure of the protocol machines. This structure is shown in Figure 2. In the message format, there is a sequence number for sublayer 1 which provides complete transmission. To solve the problem of head-of-line blocking, protocols 15 having a layered structure are based on there being a number of message streams which do not mutually influence one another. To identify its association with a message stream, each message contains an identifier of the message stream to which the message belongs. Finally, each message also contains another number for sequence protection within a message stream. These two data items are used by 20 sublayer 2 for transferring the user data to the user of the protocol in the correct order. Furthermore, there is a message stream which plays a special role in as much as the messages are not transmitted sequence-protected in it, that is to say it is not processed by sublayer 2. Examples of these structures are, e.g., MDTP which is currently being standardized at the IETF, and MSSCOP (to be called SSCOPMCE 25 in future) which is currently being standardized at the ITU. A further example of such a structure can be found in US patent 4,703,475.

However, there are applications in which only complete transmission is needed. For this purpose, protocols could be developed which do exactly this. In practice, however, this is not done but existing protocols are used for protected 30 transmission. As a result, the protocol machines used do more than is required. It is, therefore, advantageous to implement simplified versions of protocol machines

which only guarantee complete transmission and still conform to the protocol. As a result, they can communicate with protocol machines which implement the entire protocol.

In most cases, established protocols previously have been used for protected
5 transmission. Special protocols also may be used which only provide for complete
transmission.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A major aspect of the present invention is that the protocol machine, for
processing the messages, uses only the functions of the complete transmission of
10 the protocol in conformance with the protocol. The solution specified here allows
the implementation of protocol machines for protocols having a layered structure to
be considerably simplified if only the complete transmission is needed as function
of the protocol. As such, the implementation consists of fewer lines of source code
and considerably less resources (storage space, CPU power) are needed at run time.
15 It will be shown that an implementation can even be achieved in such a manner that
it can cooperate without problems with protocol machines which use all of the
functions of the protocol. For this purpose, the functions of the protocol machine
are restricted in such a manner that, apart from the message stream which assumes
the special role, no others can be used. However, this requires that the protocol
20 contains the required elements for rejecting unwanted messages. This is provided in
MDTP and MSSCOP.

A special feature of the present invention lies in the recognition that, for the
complete transmission of information, protocols having a layout structure can be
used which actually guarantee protected transmission without needing to
25 implement the functions necessary for sequence protection in the protocol
machines.

Additional features and advantages of the present invention are described
in, and will be apparent from, the following Detailed Description of the Invention
and the Figures.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

Figure 1 shows a protocol, which guarantees complete and sequence-protected transmission, split into two sublayers.

5 Figure 2 shows messages structured in accordance with the structure of a protocol machine.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The exemplary embodiment to be provided here is a simplified implementation of a protocol machine for the MDTP protocol which is currently being discussed in its version 5 at the IETF. However, the possibility of rejecting a stream initiation (stream initiation NAK) is already being used here which will only be contained in version 6 of the protocol. It is also assumed that stream 0, a stream having a special role, is modified to the extent that all data parts with stream identifier 0 carry the sequence number 0. As such, that no sequence-protected transmission is possible in stream 0.

15 On the basis of these assumptions, a simplified implementation will be described in comparison with a complete implementation. A complete reference implementation of MDTP will be available shortly.

Firstly, the performance during the processing of received control parts (message components for stream management) will be described:

20 All control parts apart from the stream initiation message are dealt with as in the case of a full implementation. The simplified implementation responds to a stream initiation by sending a corresponding stream initiation Nak. All other messages relating to stream management (stream initiation Ack, stream termination, stream termination Ack) are discarded in conformance with the 25 protocol.

The performance in processing data parts does not differ from the standard: with the circumstances given here, this refers to data parts being discarded, the stream identifier of which is not equal to 0 or the sequence number of which is not equal to 0.

30 The differences in coding the protocol machines are essentially as follows:

in the simplified implementation, the treatment of the stream management messages is trivial: either a message is send out (stream initiation Nak) or the received message is discarded. This essentially saves having to code the treatment of these messages and completely the monitoring of the transmission of these

5 messages. Furthermore, the code responsible for sequence protection within a stream does not need to be implemented. Furthermore, the complete receive buffer, which is needed for sequence protection and may have to be very large since the size of the data parts is only restricted by the size of the UPD datagrams (approx. 64 kB), can be dispensed with. In the simplified implementation, this

10 saves some of the code and the essential part of the memory needed for the implementation.

Although the present invention has been described with reference to specific embodiments, those of skill in the art will recognize that changes may be made thereto without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as set

15 forth in the hereafter appended claims.

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

Simplified implementation of protocol machines for protocols having a layered structure, wherein the simplified protocol machine which uses the above protocols in conformance with the protocol to provide for only complete transmission.

In the Claims:

On page 6, cancel line 1 and substitute the following left-hand justified heading therefor:

CLAIMS

5 Please cancel claims 1-4, without prejudice, and substitute the following claims therefor:

5. A protocol machine for processing messages, comprising a protocol which is used by the protocol machine for the processing of messages, the protocol including functions for complete and sequence-protected transmission of messages
10 and having a layered structure with respect to the complete and sequence-protected transmission, wherein the protocol machine only uses the functions for complete transmission of the protocol in conformance with the protocol.

6. A protocol machine as claimed in claim 5, wherein the functions of
15 the protocol machine are restricted such that only a message stream in which the messages are not transmitted sequence-protected can be used for transmitting messages with the aid of the protocol.

7. A protocol machine as claimed in claim 5, wherein the protocol is
20 MDTP.

8. A protocol machine as claimed in claim 5, wherein the protocol is
MSSCOP.

REMARKS

25 The present amendment makes editorial changes and corrects typographical errors in the specification, which includes the Abstract, in order to conform the specification to the requirements of United States Patent Practice. No new matter is added thereby.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the specification and claims by the current amendment. The attached page is captioned
30 **"Versions with Markings to Show Changes Made."**

In addition, the present amendment cancels original claims 1-4 in favor of new claims 5-8. Claims 5-8 have been presented solely because the revisions by crossing out underlining which would have been necessary in claims 1-4 in order to present those claims in accordance with preferred United States Patent Practice
5 would have been too extensive, and thus would have been too burdensome. The present amendment is intended for clarification purposes only and not for substantial reasons related to patentability pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§101, 102, 103 or 112. Indeed, the cancellation of claims 1-4 does not constitute an intent on the part of the Applicants to surrender any of the subject matter of claims 1-4.

10 Early consideration on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

15 BY 

William E. Vaughan
Reg. No. 39,056
P.O. Box 1135
Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135
Phone: (312) 807-4292

20

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

In the Specification:

Description

SPECIFICATION

5

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

SIMPLIFIED IMPLEMENTATION OF PROTOCOL MACHINES FOR
PROTOCOLS WITH A LAYERED STRUCTURE

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a protocol machine, which handles 10 messages using a protocol which comprises includes the functionality for complete, sequence-protected transmission of messages, with the protocol having a layer structure for complete, sequence-protected transmission.

Protected, that is to say complete and sequence-protected transmission, is made possible by many communication protocols. In this context, complete 15 transmission means that refers to all messages transmitted are being received. The order of the reception is of no importance here. Furthermore, sequence-protected transmission means that refers to the messages are being received in the order in which they have been transmitted. Loss of messages is permissible. It is of advantage advantageous to split a protocol, which guarantees complete and 20 sequence-protected transmission, into two sublayers: one sublayer implements the complete transmission; a sublayer below that then implements the sequence-protected transmission on the basis of the complete transmission. This structure is shown in figure Figure 1.

The messages carrying user data are also structured in accordance with the 25 structure of the protocol machines. This structure is shown in figure Figure 2. In the message format, there is a sequence number for sublayer 1 which provides complete transmission. To solve the problem of head-of-line blocking, protocols having a layered structure are based on there being a number of message streams which do not mutually influence one another. To identify its association with a 30 message stream, each message contains an identifier of the message stream to which the message belongs. Finally, each message also contains another number

for sequence protection within a message stream. These two data items are used by sublayer 2 for transferring the user data to the user of the protocol in the correct order. Furthermore, there is a message stream which plays a special role in as much as the messages are not transmitted sequence-protected in it, that is to say it is not processed by sublayer 2. Examples of these structures are, e.g., MDTP which is currently being standardized at the IETF, and MSSCOP (to be called SSCOPMCE in future) which is currently being standardized at the ITU. A further example of such a structure can be found in US patent 4,703,475.

However, there are applications in which only complete transmission is needed. For this purpose, protocols could be developed which do exactly this. In practice, however, this is not done but existing protocols are used for protected transmission. As a result, the protocol machines used do more than is required. It is, therefore, advantageous to implement simplified versions of protocol machines which only guarantee complete transmission and still conform to the protocol. As a result, they can communicate with protocol machines which implement the entire protocol.

In most cases, established protocols have previously have been used for protected transmission. Special protocols may also may be used which only provide for complete transmission.

20 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The A major aspect of the present invention is that the protocol machine, for processing the messages, uses only the functions of the complete transmission of said the protocol in conformance with the protocol. The solution specified here allows the implementation of protocol machines for protocols having a layered structure to be considerably simplified if only the complete transmission is needed as function of the protocol. This means not only that As such, the implementation consists of fewer lines of source code but also that and considerably less resources (storage space, CPU power) are needed at run time. It will be shown that an implementation can even be achieved in such a manner that it can cooperate without problems with protocol machines which use all of the functions of the protocol. For this purpose, the functions of the protocol machine are restricted in

such a manner that, apart from the message stream which assumes the special role, no others can be used. However, this requires that the protocol contains the required elements for rejecting unwanted messages. This is provided in MDTP and MSSCOP.

5 A special feature of the present invention relies lies in the recognition that, for the complete transmission of information, protocols having a layout structure can be used which actually guarantee protected transmission without needing to implement the functions necessary for sequence protection in the protocol machines.

10 Additional features and advantages of the present invention are described in, and will be apparent from, the following Detailed Description of the Invention and the Figures.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

15 Figure1 shows a protocol, which guarantees complete and sequence-protected transmission, split into two sublayers.

Figure 2 shows messages structured in accordance with the structure of a protocol machine.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

20 The exemplary embodiment to be provided here is a simplified implementation of a protocol machine for the MDTP protocol which is currently being discussed in its version 5 at the IETF. However, the possibility of rejecting a stream initiation (stream initiation NAK) is already being used here which will only be contained in version 6 of the protocol. It is also assumed that stream 0, a stream having a special role, is modified to the extent that all data parts with stream 25 identifier 0 carry the sequence number 0. This means As such, that no sequence-protected transmission is possible in stream 0.

On the basis of these assumptions, a simplified

implementation will be described in comparison with a complete implementation.
A complete reference implementation of MDTP will be available shortly.

Firstly, the performance during the processing of received control parts
(message components for stream management) will be described:

5 All control parts apart from the stream initiation message are dealt with as
in the case of a full implementation. The simplified implementation responds to a
stream initiation by sending a corresponding stream initiation Nak. All other
messages relating to stream management (stream initiation Ack, stream
termination, stream termination Ack) are discarded in conformance with the
10 protocol.

The performance in processing data parts does not differ from the standard:
with the circumstances given here, this means that refers to data parts are being
discarded, the stream identifier of which is not equal to 0 or the sequence number
of which is not equal to 0.

15 The differences in coding the protocol machines are essentially as follows:
in the simplified implementation, the treatment of the stream management
messages is trivial: either a message is send out (stream initiation Nak) or the
received message is discarded. This essentially saves having to code the treatment
of these messages and completely the monitoring of the transmission of these
20 messages. Furthermore, the code responsible for sequence protection within a
stream does not need to be implemented. Furthermore, the complete receive buffer,
which is needed for sequence protection and may have to be very large since the
size of the data parts is only restricted by the size of the UPD datagrams
(approx. 64 kB), can be dispensed with. In the simplified implementation, this
25 saves some of the code and the essential part of the memory needed for the
implementation.

30 Although the present invention has been described with reference to
specific embodiments, those of skill in the art will recognize that changes may be
made thereto without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as set
forth in the hereafter appended claims.

Abstract

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

Simplified implementation of protocol machines for protocols having a layered structure, wherein the ~~The protected, that is to say complete and sequence-protected transmission is made possible by many communication protocols.~~ However, there are applications in which only complete transmission is needed. The invention discloses a simplified protocol machine which uses the above protocols in conformance with the protocol to provide for only complete transmission.

Figure 1

ART 34 AMDT

Description

Simplified implementation of protocol machines for protocols with a layered structure

5

The invention relates to a protocol machine, which handles messages using a protocol which comprises the functionality for complete, sequence-protected transmission of messages, with the protocol having a layer structure for complete, sequence-protected transmission.

15

Protected, that is to say complete and sequence-protected transmission is made possible by many communication protocols. In this context, complete transmission means that all messages transmitted are received. The order of the reception is of no importance here. Furthermore, sequence-protected transmission means that the messages are received in the order in which they have been transmitted. Loss of messages is permissible. It is of advantage to split a protocol, which guarantees complete and sequence-protected transmission, into two sublayers: one sublayer implements the complete transmission; a sublayer below that then implements the sequence-protected transmission on the basis of the complete transmission. This structure is shown in figure 1.

30

The messages carrying user data are also structured in accordance with the structure of the protocol machines. This structure is shown in figure 2. In the message format, there is a sequence number for sublayer 1 which provides complete transmission. To solve the problem of head-of-line blocking, protocols having a layered structure are based on there being a number of message streams which do not mutually influence one another. To identify its association with a message stream, each

ART 34 AMDT

199902141

- 1a -

message contains an identifier of the message stream
to which

the message belongs. Finally, each message also contains another number for sequence protection within a message stream. These two data items are used by sublayer 2 for transferring the user data to the user
5 of the protocol in the correct order. Furthermore, there is a message stream which plays a special role in as much as the messages are not transmitted sequence-protected in it, that is to say it is not processed by sublayer 2. Examples of these structures are, e.g.,
10 MDTP which is currently being standardized at the IETF, and MSSCOP (to be called SSCOPMCE in future) which is currently being standardized at the ITU. A further example of such a structure can be found in US patent 4,703,475.
15 However, there are applications in which only complete transmission is needed. For this purpose, protocols could be developed which do exactly this. In practice, however, this is not done but existing protocols are
20 used for protected transmission. As a result, the protocol machines used do more than is required. It is, therefore, advantageous to implement simplified versions of protocol machines which only guarantee complete transmission and still conform to the
25 protocol. As a result, they can communicate with protocol machines which implement the entire protocol.

In most cases, established protocols have previously been used for protected transmission. Special protocols
30 may also be used which only provide for complete transmission.

The major aspect of the present invention is that the protocol machine, for processing the messages, uses
35 only the functions of the complete transmission of said protocol in conformance with the protocol. The solution specified here allows the implementation of protocol machines for protocols having a layered structure

to be considerably simplified if only the complete transmission is needed as function of the protocol. This means not only that the implementation consists of fewer lines of source code but also that considerably 5 less resources (storage space, CPU power) are needed at run time. It will be shown that an implementation can even be achieved in such a manner that it can cooperate without problems with protocol machines which use all 10 of the functions of the protocol. For this purpose, the functions of the protocol machine are restricted in such a manner that, apart from the message stream which assumes the special role, no others can be used. However, this requires that the protocol contains the required elements for rejecting unwanted messages. This 15 is provided in MDTP and MSSCOP.

A special feature of the invention relies in the recognition that, for the complete transmission of information, protocols having a layout structure can be 20 used which actually guarantee protected transmission without needing to implement the functions necessary for sequence protection in the protocol machines.

The exemplary embodiment to be provided here is a 25 simplified implementation of a protocol machine for the MDTP protocol which is currently being discussed in its version 5 at the IETF. However, the possibility of rejecting a stream initiation (stream initiation NAK) is already being used here which will only be contained 30 in version 6 of the protocol. It is also assumed that stream 0, a stream having a special role, is modified to the extent that all data parts with stream identifier 0 carry the sequence number 0. This means that no sequence-protected transmission is possible in 35 stream 0.

On the basis of these assumptions a simplified

ART 34 AMDT

- 3a -

implementation will be described in comparison with a complete

implementation. A complete reference implementation of MDTP will be available shortly.

Firstly, the performance during the processing of
5 received control parts (message components for stream
management) will be described:

All control parts apart from the stream initiation
10 message are dealt with as in the case of a full
implementation. The simplified implementation responds
to a stream initiation by sending a corresponding
stream initiation Nak. All other messages relating to
stream management (stream initiation Ack, stream
termination, stream termination Ack) are discarded in
15 conformance with the protocol.

The performance in processing data parts does not
differ from the standard:
with the circumstances given here, this means that data
20 parts are discarded the stream identifier of which is
not equal to 0 or the sequence number of which is not
equal to 0.

The differences in coding the protocol machines are
25 essentially as follows:
in the simplified implementation, the treatment of the
stream management messages is trivial: either a message
is send out (stream initiation Nak) or the received
30 message is discarded. This essentially saves having to
code the treatment of these messages and completely the
monitoring of the transmission of these messages.
Furthermore, the code responsible for sequence
protection within a stream does not need to be
35 implemented. Furthermore, the complete receive buffer,
which is needed for sequence protection and may have to
be very large since the size of the data parts is only

ART 34 AMDT

- 4a -

restricted by the size of the UPD datagrams (approx. 64 kB), can be dispensed with. In the simplified implementation, this saves some of the

ART 34 AMDT

code and the essential part of the memory needed for the implementation.

Patent Claims

1. A protocol machine which uses for the processing of messages a protocol which comprises the functions for complete and sequence-protected transmission of messages, the protocol exhibiting a layered structure with respect to the complete and sequence-protected transmission, characterized in that the protocol machine for processing the messages only uses the functions of complete transmission of said protocol in conformance with the protocol.
- 5
- 10
- 15
- 20
- 25
2. The protocol machine as claimed in claim 1, characterized in that the functions of the protocol machine are restricted in such a manner that only the message stream in which the messages are not transmitted sequence-protected can be used for transmitting messages with the aid of the protocol.
3. The protocol machine as claimed in claim 1 or 2, characterized in that said protocol is the MDTP.
4. The protocol machine as claimed in claim 1 or 2, characterized in that said protocol is the MSSCOP.

10/01959

1/1

FIGURE 1
Abbildung 1

STRUCTURE OF PROTOCOL MACHINES
Struktur von Protokollmaschinen
für geschichtete Protokolle
FOR LAYERED PROTOCOLS





FIGURE 2
Abbildung 2

STRUCTURE OF MESSAGE FORMATS OF
Struktur der Nachrichtenformate von
Nutzdaten bei geschichteten Protokollen
USER DATA WITH LAYERED PROTOCOLS



Declaration and Power of Attorney For Patent Application
Erklärung Für Patentanmeldungen Mit Vollmacht
 German Language Declaration

Als nachstehend benannter Erfinder erkläre ich hiermit
an Eides Statt:

dass mein Wohnsitz, meine Postanschrift, und meine
Staatsangehörigkeit den im Nachstehenden nach
meinem Namen aufgeführten Angaben entsprechen,

dass ich, nach bestem Wissen der ursprüngliche, erste
und alleinige Erfinder (falls nachstehend nur ein Name
angegeben ist) oder ein ursprünglicher, erster und
Miterfinder (falls nachstehend mehrere Namen
aufgeführt sind) des Gegenstandes bin, für den dieser
Antrag gestellt wird und für den ein Patent beantragt
wird für die Erfindung mit dem Titel:

**Vereinfachte Implementation von
Protokollmaschinen für Protokolle mit
Schichtenstruktur**

deren Beschreibung

(zutreffendes ankreuzen)

hier beigefügt ist.

am 26.06.2000 als

PCT internationale Anmeldung

PCT Anmeldungsnummer PCT/EP00/05918

eingereicht wurde und am _____

abgeändert wurde (falls tatsächlich abgeändert).

Ich bestätige hiermit, dass ich den Inhalt der obigen
Patentanmeldung einschliesslich der Ansprüche
durchgesehen und verstanden habe, die eventuell
durch einen Zusatzantrag wie oben erwähnt abgeän-
dert wurde.

Ich erkenne meine Pflicht zur Offenbarung irgendwel-
cher Informationen, die für die Prüfung der vorliegen-
den Anmeldung in Einklang mit Absatz 37, Bundes-
gesetzbuch, Paragraph 1.56(a) von Wichtigkeit sind,
an.

Ich beanspruche hiermit ausländische Prioritätsvorteile
gemäß Abschnitt 35 der Zivilprozeßordnung der
Vereinigten Staaten, Paragraph 119 aller unten ange-
gebenen Auslandsanmeldungen für ein Patent oder
eine Erfindersurkunde, und habe auch alle Auslands-
anmeldungen für ein Patent oder eine Erfindersurkun-
de nachstehend gekennzeichnet, die ein Anmelde-
datum haben, das vor dem Anmeldedatum der
Anmeldung liegt, für die Priorität beansprucht wird.

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are
as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only
one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint
inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the
subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent
is sought on the invention entitled

**Simplified implementation of protocol
machines for protocols with a stratified
structure**

the specification of which

(check one)

is attached hereto.

was filed on 26.06.2000 as

PCT international application

PCT Application No. PCT/EP00/05918

and was amended on _____

(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the
contents of the above identified specification, including
the claims as amended by any amendment referred to
above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is
material to the examination of this application in
accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations,
§1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35,
United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s)
for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have
also identified below any foreign application for patent
or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that
of the application on which priority is claimed:

German Language Declaration

Prior foreign applications
Priorität beansprucht

Priority Claimed

19929170.5 ✓ DE ✓
(Number) (Country)
(Nummer) (Land)

25.06.1999 ✓
(Day Month Year Filed)
(Tag Monat Jahr eingereicht)

Yes
Ja No
Nein

(Number) (Country)
(Nummer) (Land)

(Day Month Year Filed)
(Tag Monat Jahr eingereicht)

Yes
Ja No
Nein

(Number) (Country)
(Nummer) (Land)

(Day Month Year Filed)
(Tag Monat Jahr eingereicht)

Yes
Ja No
Nein

Ich beanspruche hiermit gemäss Absatz 35 der Zivilprozessordnung der Vereinigten Staaten, Paragraph 120, den Vorzug aller unten aufgeführten Anmeldungen und falls der Gegenstand aus jedem Anspruch dieser Anmeldung nicht in einer früheren amerikanischen Patentanmeldung laut dem ersten Paragraphen des Absatzes 35 der Zivilprozeßordnung der Vereinigten Staaten, Paragraph 122 offenbart ist, erkenne ich gemäss Absatz 37, Bundesgesetzbuch, Paragraph 1.56(a) meine Pflicht zur Offenbarung von Informationen an, die zwischen dem Anmeldedatum der früheren Anmeldung und dem nationalen oder PCT internationalen Anmeldedatum dieser Anmeldung bekannt geworden sind.

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §122, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

PCT/EP00/05918 ✓
(Application Serial No.)
(Anmeldeseriennummer)

26.06.2000 ✓
(Filing Date D, M, Y)
(Anmeldedatum T, M, J)

anhängig
(Status)
(patentiert, anhängig,
aufgegeben)

pending
(Status)
(patented, pending,
abandoned)

(Application Serial No.)
(Anmeldeseriennummer)

(Filing Date D,M,Y)
(Anmeldedatum T, M; J)

(Status)
(patentiert, anhängig,
aufgeben)

(Status)
(patented, pending,
abandoned)

Ich erkläre hiermit, dass alle von mir in der vorliegenden Erklärung gemachten Angaben nach meinem besten Wissen und Gewissen der vollen Wahrheit entsprechen, und dass ich diese eidesstattliche Erklärung in Kenntnis dessen abgabe, dass wissentlich und vorsätzlich falsche Angaben gemäss Paragraph 1001, Absatz 18 der Zivilprozessordnung der Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika mit Geldstrafe belegt und/oder Gefängnis bestraft werden können, und dass derartig wissentlich und vorsätzlich falsche Angaben die Gültigkeit der vorliegenden Patentanmeldung oder eines darauf erteilten Patentes gefährden können.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

German Language Declaration

VERTRETUNGSVOLLMACHT: Als benannter Erfinder beauftrage ich hiermit den nachstehend benannten Patentanwalt (oder die nachstehend benannten Patentanwälte) und/oder Patent-Agenten mit der Verfolgung der vorliegenden Patentanmeldung sowie mit der Abwicklung aller damit verbundenen Geschäfte vor dem Patent- und Warenzeichenamt: (*Name und Registrationsnummer anführen*)

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. (*list name and registration number*)



29177

And I hereby appoint

Customer No. 29177

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Telefongespräche bitte richten an:
(*Name und Telefonnummer*)

Direct Telephone Calls to: (*name and telephone number*)

Ext. _____

Postanschrift:

Send Correspondence to:

Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC
Three First National Plaza, 70 West Madison Street, Suite 3300 60602-4207 Chicago, Illinois
Telephone: (001) 312 372 11 21 and Facsimile (001) 312 827 8185

or

Customer No. 29177

Voller Name des einzigen oder ursprünglichen Erfinders: Dr. HANNS JUERGEN SCHWARZBAUER		Full name of sole or first inventor: Dr. HANNS JUERGEN SCHWARZBAUER	
Unterschrift des Erfinders <i>Hanns Juergen Schwarzer</i>	Datum <i>7.12.2001</i>	Inventor's signature <i>Hanns Juergen Schwarzer</i>	Date <i>7.12.2001</i>
Wohnsitz GROEBENZELL, DEUTSCHLAND DEX	Residence GROEBENZELL, GERMANY		
Staatsangehörigkeit DE	Citizenship DE		
Postanschrift EDELWEISSSTRASSE 2A	Post Office Address EDELWEISSSTRASSE 2A		
82194 GROEBENZELL	82194 GROEBENZELL		
Voller Name des zweiten Miterfinders (falls zutreffend): Dr. MICHAEL TUEXEN		Full name of second joint inventor, if any: Dr. MICHAEL TUEXEN	
Unterschrift des Erfinders <i>Michael Tuxen</i>	Datum <i>7.12.2001</i>	Second Inventor's signature <i>Michael Tuxen</i>	Date <i>7.12.2001</i>
Wohnsitz MUENCHEN, DEUTSCHLAND DEX	Residence MUENCHEN, GERMANY		
Staatsangehörigkeit DE	Citizenship DE		
Postanschrift GASPARISTR.8	Post Office Address GASPARISTR.8		
81479 MUENCHEN	81479 MUENCHEN		

(Bitte entsprechende Informationen und Unterschriften im Falle von dritten und weiteren Miterfindern angeben).

(Supply similar information and signature for third and subsequent joint inventors).